UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to:	

MDL No. 2738 (FLW) (LHG)

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiffis)

1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s):		
Deborah Smith		
2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of		
South Carolina		
3. Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for		
loss of consortium: N/A		
4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:		
Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death:		
Kathalene Williams, South Carolina resident.		
5. Plaintiff/Decedent was born on December 31, 1946 and died on March 1, 2014.		
6. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the _		
Personal Representative of the Estate of Kathalene Williams, having been duly		
appointed as the Personal Representative by the Probate Court of		
Greenville County, South Carolina.		

7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered		
personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the		
use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the		
following:		
injury to herself		
\underline{X} injury to the person represented		
Xwrongful death		
survivorship action		
economic loss		
loss of services		
loss of consortium		
other:		
<u>Identification of Defendants</u>		
8 Plaintiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following		
Defendant(s) (please check all that apply)1:		

Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

X

X

Johnson & Johnson

I If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

	X	Imerys Talc America ("Imerys Talc")
	X	Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC")
Add	litional	Defendants:
	Othe	r(s) Defendant(s) (please specify):
		JURISDICTION & VENUE
<u>Juri</u>	<u>isdiction</u>	<u>1:</u>
9.	Juriso	diction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
	X	Diversity of Citizenship
		Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must
be p	led in su	afficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil
Proc	cedure).	
Ven	ue:	
10.	Distric	t Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper
whe	re you	might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the
dire	ct filing	g Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be
orde	ered by	the Judicial Panel for trial:
D: a		South Corolina
<u>DIST</u>	11Ct OI S	South Carolina

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

- 11. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State):
- 12. At the time of the Plaintiffs/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State): Greenville, South Carolina
- 13. The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder product(s) injury in (City/State): Greenville, South Carolina on or about August 1, 2013 (date).
- 14. To the best of Plaintiffs knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using talcum powder product(s) on or about the following date: and continued the use of talcum powder product(s) through about the following date: 1994 to 2014.
- 15. The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the following (State(s)): South Carolina
- 16. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:
 - X Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
 - X Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master*Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master *Long*Form Complaint and Jury Demand are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):
 - X Count I: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)
 - X Count II: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - X Count III: Products Liability Strict Liability Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)
 - X Count IV: Products Liability Strict Liability Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - X Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - X Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - X Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - X Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)
 - X Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - X Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

- X Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
- X Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of South Carolina (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).
- X Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
- X Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
- X Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
- X Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of

recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: September 19, 2017 Respectfully Submitted by,

Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/ Peter G. McGrath Peter G. McGrath, Esq. McGrath Law Firm, PA 802 Johnnie Dodds Blvd. Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464 (843) 606-2755 Fax – (843) 388-7263